As we celebrate Vietnam Veterans Day in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts this Sunday, I am proud to say I represent the members of the Nam Vets Association of the Cape and Islands and commend them for their years of hard work to establish this service organization. Its founders have worked countless hours to ensure that needed assistance is available to those who have made such sacrifices for our country.

Next time any of my colleagues visit Cape Cod, I encourage you to stop by the Hyannis Village Green and view the Vietnam Veterans' Memorial, which the Nam Vets built with their own hands—an act which symbolizes not only their commitment to their country but their continued dedication to honor all those who served.

RECOGNIZING PHYLLIS KORN

HON. LOUISE McINTOSH SLAUGHTER

OF NEW YORK

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, March 27, 1998

Ms. SLAUGHTER. Mr. Speaker, I rise to recognize a true heroine of my community: Phyllis Korn, retiring director of Alternatives for Battered Women, Inc. (ABW) in Rochester, New York.

Phyllis Korn has devoted almost twenty years of her career to helping battered women and their children. As director of ABW, Ms. Korn shepherded the organization from being a part-time hotline operated from a church basement to a full domestic violence agency featuring a 24-hour hotline, a 38-bed shelter, children's services, support groups, on-site court advocacy, and other services. Today ABW serves more than 4,000 callers per year and employs 27 staff full-time, 25 part-time, and 35 to 50 volunteers.

Under Ms. Korn's leadership, ABW has been a leader in awareness and prevention of domestic violence as well as conference organization and education of local leaders. Ms. Korn is also a founding member of the New York State Coalition Against Domestic Violence and is an Advisory Board Member of the New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence. She has established formal and informal collaborations between ABW and local institutions including hospitals, community health centers, legal services groups. and community organizations, innovations which have allowed our community to treat battered women and families more effectively and with more compassion.

Whether counseling battered women or educating law enforcement officers, Ms. Korn has been a tireless advocate for the most vulnerable members of our society. The long list of awards and honors she has received are testimony to the widespread and lasting impact of her work; most recently, she was named 1998 Woman of the Year by the Susan B. Anthony Society in Rochester. I am proud to count her among my constituents and, more importantly, among my friends.

Phyllis Korn has touched the lives of thousands of Monroe County citizens, offering a lifeline to women and children with nowhere else to turn. She has left an indelible mark on our community and a legacy for the future. With her as our inspiration, we can all work toward a day when domestic violence is only a distant memory.

IMF RECIPIENTS MUST MAINTAIN FREE AND OPEN MARKETS AND THE BURDEN OF PROVIDING IMF ASSISTANCE MUST BE EQUALLY SHARED

HON. JOHN D. DINGELL

OF MICHIGAN

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, March 27, 1998

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I an introducing legislation today which will require the Administration to monitor Asian countries that receive financial assistance from the International Monetary Fund (IMF) or from the U.S. Exchange Stabilization Fund, to ensure that these countries comply with commitments they have made to the IMF, that they fully implement market opening commitments they have made under bi-lateral and multilateral trade agreements, and that our IMF partners, especially Japan and the European Union, open their markets so that increased Asian exports are not dumped in the U.S. market, robbing American workers of their jobs and American firms of hard won market share. In addition, the legislation directs the Commerce Department to determine the appropriate application of U.S. antidumping and countervailing duty laws in light of currency devaluations in Asia, in order to prevent the dumping of subsidized and price-devalued Asian exports in our mar-

I am happy to have my Colleagues, Congressman JOHN MURTHA and Congressman RALPH REGULA, joining me in the introduction of this legislation as original cosponsors.

Mr. Speaker, the House may soon consider legislation that would appropriate \$18 billion for the IMF, which has recently entered into assistance agreements with the troubled Asian economies of Korea, Indonesia, and Thailand. The Appropriations Committee has already conditioned the obligation of this funding on compliance by these Asian nations with their trade agreement obligations, and on the elimination of Government directed lending. This is an important step in the right direction, but more is needed.

Without the kind of careful monitoring required by the legislation I am introducing, we cannot be certain that the American taxpayers' contribution to Asian stability will be used to tear down already existing market restrictions and industrial policies in these countries, as well as subsidies, the irrational allocation of resources and other non-market decisions that caused this economic collapse in the first place. We must also make sure that our major IMF partners, particularly Japan and the European Union, do their part both to support the IMF effort and to open their markets to Asian exports.

Under the agreements that have been negotiated, the IMF is requiring these Asian countries to terminate national industrial policies and to undertake a number of other economic and financial reforms that should strengthen their economies. True economic stability can only be achieved in Korea and the other troubled Asian countries allow free markets to direct their national investment and resource decisions. Competitiveness is the key to stability in Asia, and investing in industries that are already producing far in excess of demand will not contribute to the long-term competitiveness of Asian industries.

Despite this fact, Korea has continued to invest heavily in automobile production, despite worldwide excess capacity in the production of motor vehicles. The IMF must be careful, therefore, that its funding is not misused by those in Korea who may be inclined to pursue the failed policies of the past in which the Korean government tightly restricted foreign motor vehicle imports (the foreign share of the Korean auto market is only 0.6%) and heavily promoted investment in Korean auto production.

Not only would significant new investment in Korean auto production provide a very unstable basis for that country's future economic growth, but a sharp rise in Korean auto exports to the U.S. could also severely threaten the health of U.S. and other foreign auto manufacturers and the workers they employ. This is not a remote concern. Based largely on the impact of currency devaluations in the last few months of last year, Korean automobile exports to the U.S. increased 8% in 1997 over their 1996 level. Clearly, there is a need to carefully monitor Korea's automobile exports to the U.S. and to other IMF partners, so that future IMF funding decisions can promote stable commercial and trade, as well as financial relations among nations.

It is not just Korean motor vehicle exports to the U.S. that have risen sharply in recent months, either. Although the U.S. had been running a healthy trade surplus with Korea, that surplus turned into a substantial deficit during the last three months of 1997, as the U.S. market began to be flooded with price-devalued imports from Korea. It was reported recently in the Financial Times that in the first 20 days of February, Korea's exports to the U.S. jumped 35%. During that same period, Korean exports to Japan increased by only 8.3%.

If a disproportionate share of Korea's exports are directed at the U.S. market, American workers and American firms will pay the price with lost jobs and lost market share. It is critically important, therefore, that Japan, the European Union, and other IMF partners share the burden of the new flood of exports coming out of Asia, by promoting consumption and opening their markets to exports from Korea and the other East Asian economies.

In this regard, I find it extremely unwise and unfortunate that the government of Japan announced last month that it would increase its duties from zero to 3 percent on 78 import items from Korea, including steel, textiles, and petrochemical products. This move is both harmful to the ultimate success of the IMF's efforts to build Asian economic stability and a direct threat to industries, like steel, in the U.S. and other countries where markets are open. The U.S. market must not become the world's dumping ground for price-devalued imports from Korea.

Steel is a good example of why I believe legislation needs to hold our other major IMF partners accountable for taking their fair share of Korean exports. Although trade agreements have eliminated many of the tariffs, quotas, and other formal government barriers to steel imports, steel producers in Japan, the European Union, and many other countries have entered into private, "mill-to-mill" agreements under which steel exports are tightly restricted. For example, Japanese steel producers have an agreement with the largest steel producer in Korea, POSCO, that limits Korea's exports

of carbon steel products to Japan to a little over 2 million metric tons per year.

Korea's POSCO has a similiar agreement with the European Union, the so-called London Agreement or the East of Burma Agreement. Under that agreement, POSCO has agreed to ship no more than 200,000 tons of steel to the European Union in 1995, and steel producers in the European Union have agreed to ship no more than 200,000 tons of steel to Korea. That same agreement also limits the European Union's steel producers' exports to about 150,000 tons per year for Japan and to about 200,000 tons per year for the other Asian markets east of Burma.

Trade statistics for 1997 show how these agreements have severely restricted Korean steel exports to the European Union and have forced those exports into the U.S. market. For 1997, the U.S. was the only, I repeat, the only, significant non-Asian importer of Korean steel. On the other hand, the European Union imported only 0.6% of all the Korean steel sold on the world market during 1997.

For the U.S., the implication of these unfair and harmful export agreements is clear. The U.S., not Japan or the European Union, is most likely to become the dumping ground for price-devalued steel exports from Korea that, in turn, will rob American workers of their jobs and American firms of hard-won market share.

The only way to prevent this from happening is for Japan and the European Union to open, not close, their markets to steel and other imports from Korea. Clearly, Japan's recent tariff hike on Korean steel goes in exactly the opposite direction of what needs to occur. Failure to open markets elsewhere to exports from Korea and the other East Asian economies would only force the U.S. to take action under the anti-dumping and countervailing duty statutes to prevent the dumping of subsidized and price-devalued Asian exports in our market.

Mr. Speaker, it is essential that IMF funding legislation also provide for careful monitoring by the Administration and Congress of how IMF assistance is used by Korean and the other troubled Asian economies, as well as the extent to which our IMF partners open their markets to exports from these countries. Without such information, the U.S. cannot know whether IMF assistance is contributing to stable financial and commercial relations among nations, or whether future IMF assistance should be denied.

The legislation I am introducing would give this monitoring responsibility principally to the U.S. Trade Representative and the Secretary of Commerce. The legislation would require these officials to consult regularly with key industry groups to share and confirm information that is pertinent to the monitoring effort. The monitoring results should be submitted bimonthly to the Congress for as long as IMF-assistance is being provided to Korea and the other East Asian economies.

To prevent undermining the effectiveness of U.S. trade remedy laws that limit the dumping of imports and that offset the anti-competitive impact of subsidized imports, the legislation also requires the Commerce Department to take steps to ensure that appropriate consideration is given to the currency devaluations and the extension of government subsidized loans to manufacturers in those Asian countries receiving IMF assistance.

Mr. Speaker, I know my Colleagues share my concern that the generosity and willing-

ness of the American taxpayer to provide assistance for the IMF's efforts to build economic stability in Asia not undermine the strength and competitiveness of U.S. products in both our own domestic market and the world market. American workers and American firms have fought hard and long for the success they have earned. Let us not take away their hard-won gains.

250TH BIRTHDAY OF READING, PENNSYLVANIA

HON. TIM HOLDEN

OF PENNSYLVANIA
IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, March 27, 1998

Mr. HOLDEN. Mr. Speaker, today I rise to ask my colleagues to join me in celebrating the 250th birthday of the largest and oldest city in my district, Reading, Pennsylvania.

Reading was founded by Thomas and Richard Penn, sons of William Penn, on March 30, 1748. The city was named for Reading, England, William Penn's ancestral home. The establishment of Reading was imminent because a number of Indian paths and primitive roads converged at the site along the Schuylkill River, which was a successful transportation corridor to Philadelphia.

During the late 18th Century, Reading was an important center for business, culture and military affairs. On July 8, 1776, Sheriff Henry Vanderslice read the Declaration of Independence from the Court House steps; and in the 1790s, President George Washington visited the city several times.

The railroads, iron industries, and textile mills provided a variety of employment opportunities to support the many workers who settled in Reading. The city grew quickly, from 3,000 in 1800 to 80,000 in 1900, and became a leading city of Pennsylvania.

Highlighted by a rich cultural and historical heritage, Reading is a unique city, which I take great pride in representing. In three days, Reading will celebrate its 250th birthday, a 5 x 10-foot Birthday card, signed by all the Members of Congress, will be on display.

Currently, the Card is on display in the Speakers' Lobby. I would greatly appreciate it if you would take a minute to stop by and sign this special card. It is not every day that a city turns 250 years old, and I hope you will take time to be part of this special recognition. Thank you!

PROPOSAL TO EXPAND MEDICARE

HON. DOUG BEREUTER

OF NEBRASKA

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Friday, March 27, 1998

Mr. BEREUTER. Mr. Speaker, this Member highly commends to his colleagues this March 19, 1998, Lincoln Journal Star editorial on President Clinton's proposal to expand Medicare

[From the Lincoln Journal Star, Mar. 19, 1998]

EXPANDING MEDICARE MEANS BIGGER PROBLEMS IN LONG TERM

President Clinton's proposal to expand Medicare has immense sugar-coated appeal.

It would allow those age 55 through 64 to obtain Medicare coverage as long as they paid the full cost of the federal health insurance program. There would be no cost to taxpayers for providing this new option to a supposedly needy group.

Congress, however, should reject the idea. This is no time to broaden a program already facing fiscal collapse in a few years when baby boomers start to retire.

In pushing for his program Tuesday, Clinton released a report showing that 4.6 million Americans are uninsured or rely on expensive individual insurance policies.

That represents 22 percent of Americans age 55 through 64. Nebraska, North Dakota and Texas were listed as states with the highest percentages of people with difficulty finding health insurance, a factoid that is not surprising because many self-employed farmers and ranchers have individual policies.

The biggest problem with the expansion of Medicare is that it would increase the role of government in health care. Government history here does not encourage optimism that good things will result.

In 1996, for example, the government overpaid health providers by \$23 billion. That represents 14 percent of all the money spent in the program. It represents about \$88 for each of the 260 million people in the country.

Obviously, the entrance of government into an entirely new market segment will hurt private insurance providers. But providing a government option also could have unintended effects on the private sector. It might encourage employers, for example, to drop insurance plans. Rather than offer postretirement health insurance plans to early retirees, companies could rely on Medicare to supply the coverage.

Eventually, of course, as Sen. Chuck Hagel and Rep. Jon Christensen have predicted, there would be efforts in Congress to provide financial help for those in the new, lower age bracket. Instead of covering the full cost of the Medicare premiums, financial aid would be granted to those supposedly unable to afford Medicare premiums. The likelihood of that expansion happening is greatest in today's era of possible budget surpluses.

As it is, officials estimate that only about 10 percent of those eligible will buy into the Medicare program, because the premiums are expensive. People between 62 and 65 years old could buy in for a base premium of about \$300 per month. Those between 55 and 62 would pay about \$400 a month.

Despite its surface appeal, expansion of Medicare to those 55 through 64 would be only the first chapter in a script with an unhappy ending. Congress should refuse to start something destined to turn out badly. Medicare already is facing fiscal trouble. The expansion will only make its future more bleak.

AMERICAN ISRAEL PUBLIC AF-FAIRS COMMITTEE HONORS MEL-VIN A. DOW

HON. RICHARD K. ARMEY

OF TEXAS

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES Friday, March 27, 1998

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, on April 6, the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) will honor the Texas congressional delegation for the work we do here in Congress on behalf of a strong U.S.-Israel relationship. I appreciate the dedication of the members of AIPAC and stand with the pro-